1 2 3	MELINDA HAAG (CSBN 132612) United States Attorney ALEX G. TSE (CSBN 152348) Chief, Civil Division NEILL T. TSENG (CSBN 220348) Assistant United States Attorney	
4 5	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495	
6	Telephone: (415) 436-7155 FAX: (415) 436-6748 neill.tseng@usdoj.gov	
7 8	Attorneys for Defendant UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTIC	E
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12	WILLIAM PICKARD,	CASE NO. C 06-0185 CRB
13	Plaintiff,	ERRATA RE DOC. #189
14	v.)	ERRITIT RE DOC. 1110)
15	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF)	
16	JUSTICE,	
17	Defendant.	
18)	
19)	
20	Defendant writes to clarify and correct an argument made in Doc. #189. Defendant stated,	
21	"Plaintiff has not offered any argument regarding adequacy of search and thus it is conceded." Doc.	
22	#189 at 20:22 (header page number). Correspondingly, in its summary of argument, Defendant stated,	
23	"Finally, Plaintiff has not raised any argument as to adequacy of search" Id. at 6:16 (header page	
24	number). While Plaintiff, in his cross-motion for partial summary judgment (Doc. #188), did not move	
25	for partial summary judgment himself on the adequacy-of-search issue, he did ask the Court to deny	
26	Defendant's fourth motion for summary judgment concerning adequacy of search based on purported	
27	genuine issues of material fact. Doc. #188, § IV.E. Defendant addressed those issues in its	

ERRATA RE DOC. #189 C 06-0185 CRB

28 | reply/opposition. Doc. #189, § II.H.

Respectfully submitted, MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney DATED: February 19, 2014 /s/NEILL T. TSENG Assistant United States Attorney Attorneys for Defendant

ERRATA RE DOC. #189 C 06-0185 CRB